

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,918	09/772,918 01/31/2001		Hideyuki Amaku	826.1671/JDH	9990
21171	7590	01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	LLP	NGUYEN, MERILYN P		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK AVI	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING		•	2171	٠	
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	•		09/772,918	AMAKU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		E	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Merilyn P Nguyen	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(aunication.) days, a reply winutory period will avill, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a reply be time thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>11/05/2</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2t	o)∐ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4 and 7-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12)								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) on.				

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

This application claims foreign priority Application No. 2000-181687 filed on June 16,
 2000.

2. In response to the communication dated 11/05/2003, claims 1-14 are active in this application as a result of the addition of claim 14.

Acknowledges

3. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items from the Applicant:

The applicant amendment has been considered and made of record as Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakagaki (US 5,857,077).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, 12, and 13, Nakagaki discloses a recording system, a recording method, a computer-readable storage medium, and a propagating signal, comprising:

o a generation device generating process information for indicating a content of a process in a specific system (See col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 16); and

Art Unit: 2171

a recording device performing a process for recording the process information of the specific system in a shared storage medium (see col. 10, lines 17-46) that is shared by a plurality of systems including the specific system and is commonly accessed by the plurality of systems (See Fig. 1, 7, and 9, as illustrated as two way arrows interchanging information with other information systems) and collectively that stores a plurality of pieces of process information of the plurality of systems (See Fig. 58, for example, wherein, distribution history holding section 15 stores a plurality of pieces of process information of the plurality of systems A, B, C D), in a format such that a process history of the plurality of systems can be tracked (See col. 11, line 63 to col. 12, line 21).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Nakagaki discloses a retrieval system, comprising:

a retrieval device (History collection section 16, Fig. 1) performing a process for retrieving data from a shared storage medium (Distribution history holding section 15, Fig. 1) that is shared by a plurality of systems and is commonly accessed by the plurality of systems (See Fig. 1, 7, and 9, as illustrated as two way arrows interchanging information with other information systems) and that collectively stores process information for indicating a content of each process of the plurality of systems (See Fig. 58, for example, wherein, distribution history holding section stores a plurality of pieces of process information of the plurality of systems A, B, C D), in a format such that a process history of the plurality of systems can be tracked (See col. 11, line 63 to col. 12, line 21); and

Application/Control Number: 09/772,918 Page 4

Art Unit: 2171

o a generation device generating the process history from the information obtained from the storage medium by retrieval (See col. 62, lines 47-56).

Regarding claims 7 and 11, Nakagaki discloses a retrieval system, comprising:

- o a retrieval device (History collection section 16, Fig. 1) performing a process for retrieving data from a shared storage medium (Distribution history holding section 15, Fig. 1) that is shared by a plurality of systems and is commonly accessed by the plurality of systems (See Fig. 1, 7, and 9, as illustrated as two way arrows interchanging information with other information systems) and that collectively stores process information for indicating a content of each process of the plurality of systems (See Fig. 58, for example, wherein, distribution history holding section stores a plurality of pieces of process information of the plurality of systems A, B, C D),in a format such that a process history of the plurality of systems can be tracked (See col. 11, line 63 to col. 12, line 21, See also col. 61, line 40 to col. 62, line 33); and
- o a process device processing information using the information obtained from the storage medium by retrieval (See col. 61, line 40 to col. 62, line 33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/772,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 2171

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagaki (US 5,857,077), in view of Oku (US 6,098,047).

Regarding claim 14, Nakagaki discloses an electronic data interchange system (Figs. 1, 7, for example), comprising:

- o first and second systems (Systems A and B, Fig. 7) exchanging transactions where each transaction has a transaction process history (Col. 9, line 66 to Col. 10, line 16); and
- a shared, commonly accessed, collective storage system collectively storing the transaction process history of each transaction (Distribution history holding section 15, Fig. 1), allowing access to the transaction process history of each transaction by said first and second systems (See Figs. 1 and 7, as illustrated as two way arrows interchanging information with other information systems), and wherein the first and second systems track the transactions using the transaction process history of each transaction stored in said storage system (See col. 11, line 63 to col. 12, line 21, See also col. 61, line 40 to col. 62, line 33).

Nakagaki does not teach transactions are business transactions. On the other hand, Oku teaches exchanging business transactions between systems of different organizations (See col. 3, lines 18-37, Oku et al.). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to exchange business transactions as suggested by Oku. Since Nakagaki system exchanging

Art Unit: 2171

various pieces of information between systems (Col. 1, lines 23-27, Nakagaki et al.), it was well known that business transactions information could also be exchanged so that the system can flexibly apply to all types of information. This is regarded as intended and, thus, not given patent able weight. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the <u>structural</u> limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Allowable subject matter

6. Claims 2 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Claims 3 and 6 are depend on objected claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/05/2003 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the distribution holding section 15 is local storage that is not shared, commonly accessed, collective storage. The examiner respectively disagrees. The claimed limitation does not recite whether the storage medium is a local storage or a global storage. The distribution holding section 15 is shared, commonly accessed by plurality of systems as illustrated in Fig. 1 as interchanging arrows to other systems. Further more, Figure

Art Unit: 2171

58 discloses a process for interchanging and collecting history information from other systems (See Information intervention system B, for example) and collectively stores the history information in the storage 15 of system B. See also col. 65, lines 4-56 for clarification. In the interest of compact prosecution, the Applicant's storage medium can be treated as any one of the distribution holding sections 15 of any of intervention systems since others share each of distribution holding sections.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Merilyn P Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 2171

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

M

MN

January 22, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100